

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,750	03/30/2004	Jurgen Dannenmaier	GAMBRO 3.3-254 CONT	4500
530	7590 07/08/2004		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
	AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD	NJ 07090		1723	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	—— <b>4</b> (
	10/813,750	DANNENMAIER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Krishnan S Menon	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 March 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	•	· •	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docu</li> </ol>	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
application from the International B			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	<i>'</i>	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 070	)4

Art Unit: 1723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites "said filter housing", which has no antecedent basis. The phrase "a housing having" seems to be inadvertently omitted from the second line of the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-1-4,7 and 8 -10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oscarsson (US 4,341,005).

Claim 1: Oscarsson teaches a method for producing a hollow fiber filter having a housing with first and second portion (10a, 10b-fig 1), laying the plurality of fibers in the first portion (fig 1), forming the filter housing by sealing the first and second portion (col 2 lines 54-62), connecting the plurality of fibers together on at least one end and with

Art Unit: 1723

the housing by applying a potting compound (col 3 lines 14-32), subsequently cutting the ends of the hollow fibers at least one end of the housing to have open ends for the fibers (col 3 lines 33-37).

It may be noted that the claim recites potting before cutting the fiber ends and then subsequently cutting the ends to open the ends. The reference does potting and then cuts the ends to open the ends of the fiber as taught in col 3 lines 14-37.

Claim also recites that the ends of the fibers are cut only after potting. However the reference teaches that the ends are cut to remove the fiber bundle in the housing from the winding wheel before potting. This is stated as an advantage over potting the fibers before cutting and removing from the winding wheel, and therefore the reference teaches potting while on the wheel before cutting, even if it is taught as an inferior method (see col 4 lines 4-14 and col 1 lines 20-28). A reference is no less anticipatory if, after disclosing the invention, the reference then disparages it. The question whether a reference "teaches away" from the invention is inapplicable to an anticipation analysis. Celeritas Technologies Ltd. v. Rockwell International Corp., 150 F.3d 1354, 1361, 47 USPQ2d 1516, 1522-23 (Fed. Cir. 1998)

Claim 2: sealing the terminal portions of the fibers to cover the ends of the fibers (col 3 lines 14-37)

Claim 3: rotary winding wheel - see fig 1.

Claim 4: severing the hollow fibers after sealing the first and second portions of the housing – col 2 lines 54-62

Claim 7: first and second portion of the housing are half-shell – see figures.

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 8: sealing method is gluing – as discussed in rejection of claims 1 and 2.

Claim 9: the first and second portion of the housing are clamped together prior to sealing – see col 2 lines 54-62, col 3 lines 14-32.

Claim 10: Oscarsson teaches a hollow fiber membrane tubular filter comprising a [filter housing] having first and second portion of half-shell shapes, bundle of hollow fibers disposed in the housing, ends of the bundle potted with the housing – see figures 1-3

Claim 14: the potting aperture recited in this claim is a part required for the process of making the filter, and is not a structural part in the finish product. In any case, such an aperture is shown in fig 2 at 23.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,6 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oscarsson (005) in view of Baurmeister et al (US 4,724,900).

Oscarsson teaches all the elements of claim 1. Instant claims 5 and 6 add the further process limitation of flexible connecting the two halves of the housing and swinging the first and second portions, which is not taught by Oscarsson. Baurmeister teaches the flexible film hinge which would make the two halves swing – see figure 4. It

Art Unit: 1723

would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Baurmeister in the teaching of Oscarsson to make the housing assembly easier and for automation as taught by Baurmeister (col 3 lines 1-20).

Claims 11-13 similarly recite flexible connectors which are film hinges for the product, which are taught by Baurmeister. Claim 13 also recite clamping, which is taught by Baurmeister – see 14 in fig 15. It may also please be noted that the flexible connectors and the clamping in the housing are only parts that are active in the assembly process, and not a structural or functional component of the finished product.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,750 Page 6

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner

> BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

hond or